I support XM Radio and its service. I travel up and down the North East Corridor. I need reliable traffic and weather. I need to know what the traffic conditions are 2 hours ahead. If I cant get NYC traffic until I am in a NYC traffic jam, what the heck good is it. I need that traffic while I am on the turnpike so that I can reroute before the jam, not while in it.

This is not a local service. This is a service for the whole north east corridor that it covers. This is a service that those of us traveling need. This helps me know the conditions several states a head.

This service is good for the environment. This service is good for energy conservation. this service is good for traffi. This service is good for the country.

I would challenge and ask the FCC, have you done a NEPA document for the implications of your policy decision. XM Traffic serves to reduce traffic on a national level - and thereby reduce pollution. This has an environmental impact and therefore your action would merit NEPA consideration.

XM Radio is necessary for security, safety, and times of emergency. Where local radio provides an inferior 20 second spot for traffic and 20 second spot for traffic on maybe a 10 minute rotation - XM information is in depth and constant. In times of emergency, when people need to know crucial information, XM Radio service is providing vital information. Please consider the implications of your decision on safety and security.

Finally, Chairman Powell has made it clear : competition is good. XM Radio is that new innovator busting down the barriers and bring competition to broadcast service that is a "vast wasteland." The weather and the traffic are examples of that innovation. Chairman Powell has commented and the poor quality of broadcast content. Well let the innovators triumph! Let the innovators cause pressure on the top forty bland crud being spun by multinational radio corps spinning the same play list accross the nation.

All hail competition! Down with incumbents who cant manage to serve consumers.